arXiv:1603.02428v1 [math.CO] 8 Mar 2016

Upper k-tuple total domination in graphs

Adel P. Kazemi

College of Mathematical Sciences
University of Mohaghegh Ardabili
P. O. Box 5619911367, Ardabil, Iran
E-mail: adelpkazemi@yahoo.com

Abstract

Let $G = (V, E)$ be a simple graph. For any integer $k \geq 1$, a subset of V is called a k-tuple total dominating set of G if every vertex in V has at least k neighbors in the set. The minimum cardinality of a minimal k-tuple total dominating set of G is called the k-tuple total domination number of G. In this paper, we introduce the concept of upper k-tuple total domination number of G as the maximum cardinality of a minimal k-tuple total dominating set of G, and study the problem of finding a minimal k-tuple total dominating set of maximum cardinality on several classes of graphs, as well as finding general bounds and characterizations. Also, we find some results on the upper k-tuple total domination number of the Cartesian and cross products of two graphs.

Keywords: k-tuple total domination number; upper k-tuple total domination number; cross product; Cartesian product; hypergraph; (upper) k-transversal number.

AMS Subject Classification: 05C69, 05C65.

1 Introduction

Preliminary definitions.

All graphs considered here are finite, undirected and simple. For standard graph theory terminology not given here we refer to [14]. Let $G = (V, E)$ be a graph with the *vertex set* V of *order* $n(G)$ and the *edge set* E of *size* $m(G)$. The *open neighborhood* of a vertex $v \in V$ is $N_G(v) = \{u \in V \mid uv \in E\}$, while its cardinality is the *degree* of v and denoted

by $deg_G(v)$. The *closed neighborhood* of a vertex $v \in V$ is also $N[v] = N_G(v) \cup \{v\}$. The *minimum* and *maximum degree* of G are denoted by $\delta = \delta(G)$ and $\Delta = \Delta(G)$, respectively. We write K_n, C_n and P_n for a *complete graph*, a *cycle*, and a *path* of order n, respectively, while $K_{n_1,\ldots,n_p}$ denotes a *complete p-partite graph*.

The *Cartesian product* $G \,\square\, H$ of two graphs G and H is a graph with the vertex set $V(G) \times V(H)$ and two vertices (g_1, h_1) and (g_2, h_2) are adjacent if and only if either $g_1 = g_2$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in E(H)$, or $h_1 = h_2$ and $(g_1, g_2) \in E(G)$, while the *cross product* (also known as the *direct product*, *tensor product*, *categorical product*, and *conjunction* in the literature) $G \times H$ has $V(G) \times V(H)$ as vertex set and two vertices (g_1, h_1) and (g_2, h_2) are adjacent if and only if $(g_1, g_2) \in E(G)$ and $(h_1, h_2) \in E(H)$.

Definition 1.1. Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and let $v \in S \subseteq V$. A vertex v' is called a *k-open private neighbor* of v with respect to S, or simply a (S, k)-opn of v, if $v' \in N_G(v)$ and $|N_G(v') \cap S| = k$, in other words, there exists a k-subset $S_v \subseteq S$ containing v such that $N_G(v') \cap S = S_v$. More exactly, v' is called an *external* or *inner* k-open private neighbor of v with respect to S if $v' \in V - S$ or $v' \in S$, respectively. The set $opn_k(v; S) = \{v' \in V | v' \text{ is a } (S, k)\text{-opn of } v\}$ is called the *k-open private neighborhood set* of v with respect to S.

For any positive integer k, the *k-join* $G \circ_k H$ of a graph G to a graph H of order at least k is the graph obtained from the disjoint union of G and H by joining each vertex of G to at least k vertices of H.

Hypergraphs.

Hypergraphs are systems of sets which are conceived as natural extensions of graphs. A *hypergraph* $H = (V, E)$ is a set V of elements, called *vertices*, together with a multiset E of arbitrary subsets of V, called *edges*. For integer $k \geq 1$, a *k-uniform hypergraph* is a hypergraph in which every edge has size k. Every simple graph is a 2-uniform hypergraph. For a graph $G = (V, E)$, $H_G = (V, C)$ denotes the *open neighborhood hypergraph* of G with the vertex set V and edge set C consisting of the open neighborhoods of vertices of V in G.

A *transversal* in a hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ is a subset $S \subseteq V$ such that $|S \cap e| \geq 1$ for every edge $e \in E$; that is, the set S meets every edge in H. The *transversal number* $\tau(H)$ of H is the minimum size of a transversal in it. In the next definition, we define two new concepts similar to the transversal number.

Definition 1.2. For any integer $k \geq 1$, we define a *k-transversal* in a hypergraph $H = (V, E)$ as a subset $S \subseteq V$ such that $|S \cap e| \geq k$ for every edge $e \in E$; that is, every edge in H contains at least k vertices from the set S. Also we define the *upper k-transversal number* $\Upsilon_k(H)$ of H as the maximum cardinality of a minimal k-transversal in H, while the *k-transversal number* $\tau_k(H)$ of H is the minimum cardinality of a minimal k-transversal in H.

Upper k-tuple total domination.

Domination in graphs is now well studied in graph theory and the literature on this subject has been surveyed and detailed in the two books by Haynes, Hedetniemi, and Slater [5, 6]. A set $S \subseteq V$ is a *dominating set* (resp. *total dominating set*) of G if each vertex in $V \setminus S$ (resp. V) is adjacent to at least one vertex of S. The *domination number* $\gamma(G)$ (resp. *total domination number* $\gamma_t(G)$) of G is the minimum cardinality of a dominating set (resp. total dominating set) of G.

The concept of k-tuple total domination, which is an extension of total domination, introduced in [7] by Henning and Kazemi, and studied more, for example, in [10, 11].

Definition 1.3. [8] Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and let G be a graph with $\delta \geq k$. A subset $S \subseteq V$ is called a *k-tuple total dominating set,* briefly kTDS, in G if for each $x \in V$, $|N(x) \cap S| \geq k$. The minimum number of vertices of a kTDS in G is called the *k-tuple total domination number* of G and denoted by $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$. A kTDS with cardinality $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$ is called a *$\gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$-set.*

Now we define the concept of upper k-tuple total domination number of a graph as follows.

Definition 1.4. The *upper k-tuple total domination number* $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$ of G is the maximum cardinality of a minimal kTDS of G, and a k-tuple total dominating set with cardinality $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$ is a *$\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$-set.* Also, we say that a graph G is a *$\Gamma_{\times k,t}$-external graph* if it has a $\Gamma_{\times k,t}$-set S such that every vertex in it has an external k-open private neighbor with respect to S.

Obviously, for every graph G and every positive integer k, $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$, and this bound is sharp, because for every $1 \leq k < n$, $\gamma_{\times k,t}(K_n) = \Gamma_{\times k,t}(K_n) = k+1$. We remark that the upper 1-tuple total domination number $\Gamma_{\times 1,t}(G)$ is the well-studied *upper total domination number* $\Gamma_t(G)$, while the upper 2-tuple total domination number is known as the *upper double total domination number*. The redundancy involved in upper k-tuple total domination makes it useful in many applications.

In this paper, we initiate to study the problem of finding a minimal k-tuple total dominating set of maximum cardinality on several classes of graphs, as well as finding general bounds and characterizations. Also we present a conjecture on the upper k-tuple total domination number, similar to the Vizing's conjecture, and prove it for a family of graphs. Proving $\Gamma_{\times k\ell,t}(G \times H) \geq \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)\Gamma_{\times \ell,t}(H)$ is our next work, where $k, \ell \geq 1$ are integers. Then we character a graph G satisfy $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = \gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$, and show that for any graph G with minimum degree at least k, 1) $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = \Upsilon_k(H_G)$, and 2) $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = \gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$ if and only if $\Upsilon_k(H_G) = \tau_k(H_G)$.

We begin our discussion with the following observation. The proof follows readily from the definitions and is omitted.

Observation 1.5. *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer and let G be a graph of order n with $\delta(G) \geq k$. Then*

i. $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq n$,

ii. *every kTDS S in G is minimal if and only if $opn_k(v; S) \neq \emptyset$ for every vertex $v \in S$,*

iii. *all neighbors of every vertex of degree k in G belong to every kTDS of G, and*

iv. *if H is a spanning subgraph of G which has a $\Gamma_{\times k,t}$-set that is also a minimal kTDS of G, then $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(H) \leq \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$.*

Observation 1.5 (iii) implies the next proposition.

Proposition 1.6. *If G is a k-regular graph, then $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = n$.*

The converse of Proposition 1.6 does not hold. For example, if G is the graph obtained by the union of two disjoint complete graphs of order $k + 1 \geq 3$, with an edge between them, then G is not regular but $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = 2k + 2$.

The next two propositions from [3] and [7] are useful for our investigations.

Proposition 1.7. (**Dorbec et al. [3] 2007**) *For any path P_n of order $n \geq 2$,*

$$\Gamma_t(P_n) = 2\lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \rfloor.$$

Proposition 1.8. (**Henning, Kazemi [7] 2010**) *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k$. Then $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = k + 1$ if and only if $G = K_{k+1}$ or $G = F \circ_k K_{k+1}$ for some graph F.*

2 The upper k-tuple total domination number of some graphs

In this section, we calculate the upper k-tuple total domination number of a path, a cycle and a complete multipartite graph. Proposition 1.6 implies $\Gamma_{\times 2,t}(C_n) = n$. The next proposition calculates $\Gamma_t(C_n)$.

Proposition 2.1. *For any cycle C_n of order $n \geq 3$,*

$$\Gamma_t(C_n) = \begin{cases} 2\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1 & \text{if } n \equiv 2 \pmod 3, \\ 2\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Proof. Let $V(C_n) = \{1, 2, ..., n\}$, and let $ij \in E(C_n)$ if and only if $j \equiv i + 1 \pmod n$. Let S be a $\Gamma_t(C_n)$-set. If at least one vertex of any two consecutive vertices belongs to S,

then $n \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. Since, in otherwise, S will contain at least three consecutive vertices of $V(C_n)$, which contracts the minimality of S. Hence $|S| = 2\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$, when $n \equiv 0 \pmod 3$. Now, assume that there exist two consecutive vertices, say 1 and n, which do not belong to S. Then S is also a minimal TDS in $P_n = C_n - \{e\}$, where $e = 1n \in E(C_n)$. This implies $|S| = \Gamma_t(C_n) \leq \Gamma_t(P_n) = 2\lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \rfloor$ (the last equality is obtained by Proposition 1.7). Now since $\{3i+1, 3i+2 | 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 1\}$ is a minimal TDS in C_n with cardinality $\Gamma_t(P_n)$ when $n \not\equiv 2 \pmod 3$, we obtain $\Gamma_t(C_n) = 2\lfloor \frac{n+1}{3} \rfloor = 2\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor$. Now for $n \equiv 2 \pmod 3$, let S be a minimal TDS in C_n with cardinality $\Gamma_t(P_n)$. Then there exist seven consecutive vertices, say 1, 2, ..., 7, such that $S \cap \{1, 2, ..., 7\} = \{i\}$, where $i = 2$ or 4. Since $S - \{i+1\}$ is a TDS in C_n with cardinality less than the cardinality of S, we deduce $|S| < \Gamma_t(P_n)$. Therefore, $\Gamma_t(C_n) < \Gamma_t(P_n)$, and since $\{3i+1, 3i+2 | 0 \leq i \leq \lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor - 1\} \cup \{n\}$ is a minimal TDS in C_n with cardinality $\Gamma_t(P_n) - 1 = 2\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1$, we obtain $\Gamma_t(C_n) = 2\lfloor \frac{n}{3} \rfloor + 1$. □

Now we study the upper k-tuple total domination number of a complete multipartite graph.

Theorem 2.2. *Let $G = K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_p}$ be a complete p-partite graph with $\delta \geq k \geq 1$. If $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq ... \leq n_p$, then*

$$\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = k + \max\{x | (\ell - 1)x = k \text{ and } x \leq \min\{k, n_{p-\ell+1}, ..., n_p\}\}.$$

Proof. Let $V = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup ... \cup X_p$ be the partition of the vertices of G to the p independent sets X_1, X_2, ...,X_p, and let S be a minimal kTDS in G. Let $I = \{i_j | j = 1, .., \ell\}$ be an index subset of $\{1, 2, .., p\}$ for some $2 \leq \ell \leq p$ such that $S \cap X_i \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $i \in I$. Also assume that $|S \cap X_{i_j}| = x_{i_j}$ for any $i_j \in I$, and $x_{i_1} \leq x_{i_2} \leq ... \leq x_{i_\ell}$. The minimality of S implies that $x_{i_j} \leq k$, for any $i_j \in I$, and there exists a $(\ell - 1)$-subset $L \subseteq I$ such that $\sum_{i_j \in L} x_{i_j} = k$. Since S is minimal, this implies that for every $(\ell - 1)$-subset $L \subseteq I$, we have $\sum_{i_j \in L} x_{i_j} = k$. Hence $x_{i_1} = x_{i_2} = ... = x_{i_\ell}$. Let $x := x_{i_1} = x_{i_2} = ... = x_{i_\ell}$. Then $x_{i_1} + x_{i_2} + ... + x_{i_\ell} = \ell x = k + x \leq \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$, where $x \leq \min\{k, n_{i_1}, ..., n_{i_\ell}\}$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) &= k + \max\{x | (\ell - 1)x = k \text{ and } x \leq \min\{k, n_{i_1}, ..., n_{i_\ell}\}\} \\ &= k + \max\{x | (\ell - 1)x = k \text{ and } x \leq \min\{k, n_{p-\ell+1}, ..., n_p\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 2.3. *Let $G = K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_p}$ be a complete p-partite graph. If there exist two distinct indices i and j such that $n_i \geq n_j \geq k \geq 1$, then $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = 2k$.*

The next theorem can be proved with a proof similar to the proof of Theorem 2.2.

Theorem 2.4. *Let $G = K_{n_1,n_2,...,n_p}$ be a complete p-partite graph with $\delta \geq k \geq 1$. If $n_1 \leq n_2 \leq ... \leq n_p$, then*

$$\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq k + \min\{x | (\ell - 1)x = k \text{ and } x \leq \min\{k, n_1, ..., n_\ell\}\}.$$

3 Two upper bounds

In this section, we present two upper bounds for the upper k-tuple total domination number of a graph. The first is in terms of k and the order and the minimum degree of the graph, and the second is in terms of the upper ℓ-tuple total domination number of the graph for some $\ell < k$.

Theorem 3.1. *If G is a graph of order n with $\delta \geq k+1 \geq 2$, then $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq n-\delta+k$, and this bound is sharp.*

Proof. Let S be a $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$-set. By Observation 1.5 (ii), for each vertex $v \in S$ there exist a k-subset $S_v \subseteq S$ and a vertex $v' \in V(G)$ such that $N_G(v') \cap S = S_v$. If $v' \in S$, then $N_G(v') - S_v \subseteq V(G) - S$. Hence $\delta - k \leq deg(v') - k \leq n - |S| = n - \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$, which implies that $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq n - \delta + k$. If $v' \notin S$, then v' is not adjacent to at least $|S| - k$ vertices of $S - S_v$. Hence $\delta \leq deg(v') \leq n - |S| + k - 1 = n - \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) + k - 1$, which implies that $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) < n - \delta + k$.

That the bound is sharp, may be seen as follows. Let $\delta \geq k+1 \geq 2$ be integers. Consider b vertex-disjoint complete graphs K_{k+1} where $b \geq \lceil \frac{\delta}{k+1} \rceil$, and let $H_b = K_{k+1} + ... + K_{k+1}$ (the union of b vertex-disjoint complete graphs K_{k+1}). Also consider an empty graph T with $\delta - k$ vertices. Let $G_b = H_b \circ T$ be the union of H_b and T such that every vertex of H_b is adjacent to all vertices in T. Then G_b is a connected graph of order $n = b(k+1) + \delta - k$ with minimum degree δ. Since $V(H_b)$ is a minimal k-tuple total dominating set of G_b, we obtain $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \geq n - \delta + k$. Consequently $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = n - \delta + k$. □

Theorem 3.2. *Let G be a graph with $\delta \geq k \geq 1$. Let $L = \cap_{v \in S} S_v$, where S is a $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$-set and S_v is the set given in Definition 1.1. If $|L| = \ell < k$, then*

$$\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq \Gamma_{\times (k-\ell),t}(G) + \ell.$$

Proof. Let S be a $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$-set and let $L = \cap_{v \in S} S_v$ which has cardinality ℓ. Since $S - L$ is a minimal $(k-\ell)$TDS in G, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\times (k-\ell),t}(G) &\geq |S - L| \\ &= |S| - |L| \\ &= \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) - \ell. \end{aligned}$$

□

4 A vizing-like conjecture

In 1968 Vizing made the following conjecture which he first posed as a question in 1963.

Vizing's Conjecture: [13] For any graphs G and H, $\gamma(G)\gamma(H) \leq \gamma(G \,\square\, H)$.

Vizing's conjecture hasn't been settled (although the conjecture has been proven true for large classes of graphs) and is the most famous open problem involving domination in graphs. The best general upper bound to date on the product of the domination numbers of two graphs in terms of their Cartesian product is due to Clark and Suen who showed in [2] that for any graphs G and H, $\gamma(G)\gamma(H) \leq 2\gamma(G \,\square\, H)$. Several variations of Vizing's conjecture have been studied. In 1996, Nowakowski and Rall in [12] made the following Vizing-like conjecture for the upper domination of Cartesian products of graphs.

Nowakowski-Rall's Conjecture: [12] For any graphs G and H, $\Gamma(G)\Gamma(H) \leq \Gamma(G \,\square\, H)$.

A beautiful proof of the Nowakowski-Rall's Conjecture was recently found by Brear [1]. In [9], a version of Vizing's conjecture for total domination is studied. For certain classes of graphs G without isolated vertices (including the class of trees of order at least 2) and for any graph H without isolated vertices, it is shown that $\gamma_t(G)\gamma_t(H) \leq 2\gamma_t(G \,\square\, H)$. However it remains an open question (see [9]) to determine whether the product of the total domination numbers of two general graphs without isolated vertices is at most twice the total domination number of their Cartesian product. Paul Dorbec et al. also in [4] proved that for any graphs G and H with no isolated vertices, $\Gamma_t(G)\Gamma_t(H) \leq \Gamma_t(G \,\square\, H)$, that is, $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)\Gamma_{\times k,t}(H) \leq \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G \,\square\, H)$ for $k = 1$. We conjecture this is also true when $k \geq 2$.

Conjecture: For any integer $k \geq 2$, and any graphs G and H with minimum degree at least k, $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)\Gamma_{\times k,t}(H) \leq \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G \,\square\, H)$.

We show that the conjecture is true for the family of $\Gamma_{\times k,t}$-external graphs. We recall that G is a $\Gamma_{\times k,t}$-external graph means that G has a $\Gamma_{\times k,t}$-set S such that every vertex in S has an external (S,k)-opn.

Theorem 4.1. *For any two $\Gamma_{\times k,t}$-external graphs G and H,*

$$\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G \,\square\, H) \geq \max\{\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \cdot |V(H)|, \Gamma_{\times k,t}(H) \cdot |V(G)|\}.$$

Proof. Let $\max\{\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \cdot |V(H)|, \Gamma_{\times k,t}(H) \cdot |V(G)|\} = \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \cdot |V(H)|$, and let D_G be a $\Gamma_{\times k,t}$-set of G such that every vertex of it has an external (D_G,k)-opn. Then it can easily be seen that $D = D_G \times V(H)$ is a kTDS in $G \,\square\, H$. Now we show that D is minimal. For this aim, it suffices to prove that for any vertex $(v,w) \in D$, $opn_k((v,w);D) \neq \emptyset$. Let $v' \in opn_k(v;D_G) \cap (V(G) - D_G)$. Then $N_G(v') \cap D_G = \{v, v_1, v_2, ..., v_{k-1}\}$, for some vertices $v_1, v_2, ..., v_{k-1} \in D_G$. Thus

$$\begin{aligned} N_{G \,\square\, H}((v',w)) \cap D &= ((N_G(v') \times \{w\}) \cup (\{v'\} \times N_H(w)) \cap D \\ &= (N_G(v') \cap D_G) \times \{w\} \cup (\emptyset \times N_H(w) \\ &= \{(v,w), (v_1,w), (v_2,w), ..., (v_{k-1},w)\}, \end{aligned}$$

and so $opn_k((v,w);D) \neq \emptyset$, for every vertex $(v,w) \in D$. Hence

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G \,\square\, H) & \geq & |D| \\ & \geq & \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \cdot |V(H)| \\ & = & \max\{\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \cdot |V(H)|, \Gamma_{\times k,t}(H) \cdot |V(G)|\}. \end{array}$$

□

The next theorem is immediately obtained by Proposition 1.6 and Theorems 3.1 and 4.1.

Theorem 4.2. *Let G be a $\Gamma_{\times k,t}$-external graph, and let H be an arbitrary graph. Then the following statements hold.*

i. *If $\delta(H) \geq k+1$, then $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G \,\square\, H) \geq \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)(\Gamma_{\times k,t}(H) + \delta(H) - k)$.*

ii. *If H is k-regular, then $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G \,\square\, H) \geq \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)\Gamma_{\times k,t}(H)$.*

iii. *If H is not k-regular and $\delta(H) = k$, then $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G \,\square\, H) \geq \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)(\Gamma_{\times k,t}(H) + 1)$.*

As a result of the previous theorems, we obtain the following theorem.

Theorem 4.3. *Let G be a $\Gamma_{\times k,t}$-external graph with $\delta(G) \geq k \geq 2$. Then for any graph H with $\delta(H) \geq k$,*

$$\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G \,\square\, H) \geq \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \cdot \Gamma_{\times k,t}(H).$$

5 The cross product of two graphs

In this section, we study the upper k-tuple total domination number of the cross product of two graphs.

Theorem 5.1. *If G and H are graphs satisfying $\delta(G) \geq k \geq 1$ and $\delta(H) \geq \ell \geq 1$, then*

$$\Gamma_{\times k\ell,t}(G \times H) \geq \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \cdot \Gamma_{\times \ell,t}(H).$$

Proof. Let D_G be a $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$-set and let D_H be a $\Gamma_{\times \ell,t}(H)$-set. We first show that the (set) cartesian product $D_G \times D_H$ of D_G and D_H is a $k\ell$TDS in $G \times H$. Let (u,v) be a vertex in $G \times H$. Let $D_u = D_G \cap N_G(u)$, $D_v = D_H \cap N_H(v)$ and let $D_{uv} = D_u \times D_v$. Note that $D_{uv} \subseteq D_G \times D_H$. Since D_G is a kTDS in G and D_H is a ℓTDS in H, we have $|D_u| \geq k$ and $|D_v| \geq \ell$. Hence $|D_{uv}| \geq k\ell$. Since $N_{G\times H}((u,v)) = N_G(u) \times N_H(v)$, we obtain $D_{uv} \subseteq N_{G\times H}((u,v))$.

Now we show that $D_G \times D_H$ is minimal. Let $(a,b) \in D_G \times D_H$. Then $a \in D_G$ and $b \in D_H$. The minimality of D_G and D_H imply that there exist vertices $a' \in V(G)$,

$b' \in V(H)$ and a k-subset $S_a \subseteq D_G$ and a ℓ-subset $S_b \subseteq D_H$ such that $N_G(a') \cap D_G = S_a$ and $N_H(b') \cap D_H = S_b$. Therefore, there exist the vertex $(a', b') \in V(G \times H)$ and the $k\ell$-subset $S_{(a,b)} = S_a \times S_b$ such that $N_{G\times H}((a', b')) \cap (D_G \times D_H) = S_{(a,b)}$. Because if $(x, y) \in N_{G\times H}((a', b')) \cap (D_G \times D_H)$, then $x \in N_G(a') \cap D_G$ and $y \in N_H(b') \cap D_H$, and so $(x, y) \in S_a \times S_b = S_{(a,b)}$. On the other hand, if $(x, y) \in S_{(a,b)}$, then $x \in N_G(a') \cap D_G$ and $y \in N_H(b') \cap D_H$, and so $(x, y) \in N_{G\times H}((a', b')) \cap (D_G \times D_H)$. Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_{\times k\ell,t}(G \times H) &\geq |D_G \times D_H| \\ &= |D_G| \cdot |D_H| \\ &= \Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \cdot \Gamma_{\times \ell,t}(H). \end{aligned}$$

□

Corollary 5.2. *If G and H are graphs satisfying $\delta(G) \geq \delta(H) \geq k \geq 1$, then*

$$\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G \times H) \geq \max\{\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \cdot \Gamma_t(H), \Gamma_{\times k,t}(H) \cdot \Gamma_t(G)\}.$$

The next proposition shows that the equality may be hold in Theorem 5.1.

Proposition 5.3. *Let $1 \leq k \leq n-1$. Then $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(K_n \times K_2) = 2k + 2$.*

Proof. Corollary 5.2 implies that

$$\Gamma_{\times k,t}(K_n \times K_2) \geq \Gamma_{\times k,t}(K_n) \cdot \Gamma_t(K_2) = 2k + 2.$$

Let S be a $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(K_n \times K_2)$-set. Let $V(K_n \times K_2) = V_1 \cup V_2$, where $V_i = \{1, 2, ..., n\} \times \{i\}$ for $i = 1, 2$. Let also $S_i = S \cap V_i$, for $i = 1, 2$, and $|S_1| \geq |S_2|$. Clearly $|S_i| \geq k$ for each i, and the minimality of S implies that $|S_2| \leq k + 1$. Since S has maximum cardinality, $|S_2| = k+1$. If $|S_1| > k+1$, then for every vertex $v \in S_1 - S_2'$, the set $S - \{v\}$ is a kTDS in $K_n \times K_2$, where $S_2' = \{(a, 1)|(a, 2) \in S_2\}$, a contradiction. Therefore $|S_1| = |S_2| = k + 1$, and so $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(K_n \times K_2) = 2k + 2$. □

Now we present a lower bound for the upper k-tuple total domination number of the cross product of two complete multipartite graphs.

Proposition 5.4. *For any integers $m \geq n \geq 2$, let $G = K_{t_1,t_2,...,t_m}$ be a complete m-partite graph, and let $H = K_{s_1,s_2,...,s_n}$ be a complete n-partite graph. Let $\delta(G \times H) \geq k$. If there exist either two distinct indices i and j such that $\sum_{1\leq\ell\leq n} t_i s_\ell \geq \sum_{1\leq\ell\leq n} t_j s_\ell \geq 2k$ or two distinct indices ℓ and r such that $\sum_{1\leq i\leq m} s_\ell t_i \geq \sum_{1\leq i\leq m} s_r t_i \geq 2k$, then $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G \times H) \geq 4k$.*

Proof. Let $V(G) = X_1 \cup X_2 \cup ... \cup X_m$ be the partition of the vertices of G to the m disjoint independent sets X_1, X_2, ..., X_m, and let $V(H) = Y_1 \cup Y_2 \cup ... \cup Y_n$ be the partition of the vertices of H to the n disjoint independent sets Y_1, Y_2, ..., Y_n such that

for each i and j, $|X_i| = t_i$ and $|Y_j| = s_j$. Then $\bigcup_{1\leq i\leq m,\ 1\leq j\leq n}(X_i \times Y_j)$ is a partition of the vertices of $G \times H$. Without loss of generality, we may assume that

$$\sum_{1\leq \ell\leq n} t_1 s_\ell \geq \sum_{1\leq \ell\leq n} t_2 s_\ell \geq 2k,$$

and also there exists the sum $k = k_1 + k_2 + ... + k_r$ to the positive integers k_1, k_2, ..., k_r such that $k_i \leq \min\{t_1 s_i, t_2 s_i, t_1 s_{i+r}, t_2 s_{i+r}\}$, for each i. Let S be a subset of $V(G \times H)$ such that $|S \cap (X_1 \times Y_i)| = k_i$. Then S is a minimal kTDS in $G \times H$, implying that $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G \times H) \geq 4k$. □

6 Upper k-transversal in hypergraphs

In this section, we show that the problem of finding upper k-tuple total dominating sets in graphs can be translated to the problem of finding upper k-transversal in hypergraphs.

Theorem 6.1. *If G is a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k \geq 1$, and H_G is the open neighborhood hypergraph of G, then $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = \Upsilon_k(H_G)$.*

Proof. On the one hand, every kTDS in G contains at least k vertices from the open neighborhood of each vertex in G, and is therefore a k-transversal in H_G. On the other hand, every k-transversal in H_G contains at least k vertices from the open neighborhood of each vertex of G, and is therefore a kTDS in G. Therefore a vertex subset S is a kTDS in G if and only if it is a k-transversal in H_G. Since also every subset S is a minimal kTDS in G if and only if it is a minimal k-transversal in H_G, our proof will be completed. Hence $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = \Upsilon_k(H_G)$. □

The authors in [7] proved that the problem of finding k-tuple total dominating sets in graphs can be translated to the problem of finding k-transversal in hypergraphs, that is, for every integer $k \geq 1$ and every graph G with minimum degree k, $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = \tau_k(H_G)$. This fact and Theorem 6.1 imply the next theorem.

Theorem 6.2. *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k \geq 1$, and H_G is the open neighborhood hypergraph of G. Then $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = \gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$ if and only if $\Upsilon_k(H_G) = \tau_k(H_G)$.*

To continue our discussion, we character graphs G satisfying $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = m$, for any integer $m \geq k + 1 \geq 2$. Proposition 1.8 did this for $m = k + 1$. Here, we do it for $m \geq k + 2$.

Theorem 6.3. *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k \geq 1$, and let $m \geq k + 2$ be an integer. Then $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = m$ if and only if $G = K'_m$ or $G = F \circ_k K'_m$, where m is the minimum*

of the set

$T = \{t | G = F' \circ_k K'_t$, *for a graph* F' *and a spanning subgraph* K'_t *of* K_t *with* $\delta(K'_t) \geq k\}$,

and $F = G - K'_m$.

Proof. Let S be a $\gamma_{\times k,t}$-set of $G = (V, E)$ with cardinality $m \geq k+2$. Then every vertex has at least k neighbors in S. Then $G[S] = K'_m$, for some spanning subgraph K'_m of K_m and $\delta(K'_m) \geq k$. If $|V| = m$, then $G = K'_m$. Otherwise, let $F = G[V - S]$. Since every vertex in $V - S$ has at least k neighbors in S, we conclude that $G = F \circ_k K'_m$. Also, by the definition of k-tuple total domination number, m is the minimum of the set T.

Conversely, let $G = K'_m$ or $G = F \circ_k K'_m$ where m is minimum of the set T and $F = G - K'_m$. Then, since $V(K'_m)$ is a kTDS with cardinality m, $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) \leq m$. We may conclude that $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = m$. Because if $\gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = m'$ for some $m' < m$, then the previous paragraph implies that for some graph F' and some spanning subgraph $K'_{m'}$ of $K_{m'}$, $G = F' \circ_k K'_{m'}$, which contradicts the minimality of m. □

The next theorem can be obtained, by Proposition 1.8 and Theorem 6.3.

Theorem 6.4. *Let G be a graph with $\delta(G) \geq k \geq 1$. Then $\Gamma_{\times k,t}(G) = \gamma_{\times k,t}(G)$ if and only if for some integer $m \geq k+1$, $G = K'_m$ or $G = F \circ_k K'_m$, where m is the minimum of the set*

$T = \{t | G = F' \circ_k K'_t$, *for a graph* F' *and a spanning subgraph* K'_t *of* K_t *with* $\delta(K'_t) \geq k\}$,

and $F = G - K'_m$.

Now Theorems 6.2 and 6.4 imply the next result.

Theorem 6.5. *Let $k \geq 1$ be an integer. For any hypergraph H, $\Upsilon_k(H) = \tau_k(H)$ if and only if $H = H_G$ for some graph G such that $G = K'_m$ or $G = F \circ_k K'_m$ for some spanning subgraph K'_m of K_m with $\delta(K'_m) \geq k$ such that $m \geq k+1$ is the minimum of the set*

$T = \{t | G = F' \circ_k K'_t$, *for a graph* F' *and a spanning subgraph* K'_t *of* K_t *with* $\delta(K'_t) \geq k\}$,

and $F = G - K'_m$.

References

[1] B. Brear, Vizing-like conjecture for the upper domination of Cartesian products of graphs-the proof, *Electronic J. Comb.* **12** N12 (2005).

[2] W. E. Clark, S. Suen, An inequality related to Vizing's conjecture, *Electronic J. Comb.* **7** N4 (2000).

[3] P. Dorbec, M. A. Henning, J. McCoy, Upper total domination versus upper paired-domination, *Quaestiones Mathematicae* **30** (2007) 1–12.

[4] P. Dorbec, M. A. Henning, D. F. Rall, On the upper total domination number of Cartesian products of graphs, *J. Comb. Optim.* **16** (2008) 68–80.

[5] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi, P. J. Slater (eds). *Fundamentals of Domination in Graphs*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1998.

[6] T. W. Haynes, S. T. Hedetniemi, P. J. Slater (eds). *Domination in Graphs: Advanced Topics*, Marcel Dekker, Inc. New York, 1998.

[7] M. A. Henning, A. P. Kazemi, k-tuple total domination in graphs, *Discrete Applied Mathematics*, **158** (2010) 1006–1011.

[8] M. A. Henning, A. P. Kazemi, k-tuple total domination in cross product graphs, *J. of Comb. Optim.*, **24** (2012) 339–346.

[9] M. A. Henning, D. F. Rall, On the total domination number of Cartesian products of graph, *Graphs and Combinatorics*, **21** (2005) 63–69.

[10] A. P. Kazemi, k-tuple total domination in inflated graphs, *FILOMAT*, **272** (2013) 341–351.

[11] A. P. Kazemi, k-tuple total domination and Myceleskian Graphs, *Transactions on Combinatorics*, **1** N1 (2012) 7–13.

[12] R. J. Nowakowski, Rall, Associative graph products and their independence, domination and coloring numbers, *Discuss. Math. Graph Theory*, **16** (1996) 363–366.

[13] V. G. Vizing, Some unsolved problems in graph theory, *Usp Mat Nauk*, **23** (144) (1968) 117–134.

[14] D. B. West, *Introduction to Graph Theorey*, 2nd ed., Prentice Hall, USA, 2001.